

PC	CR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
1.	Does this case meet the criteria for SC	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : Case meets criteria for individual
	documentation and SC interview only?	☐ No	who is excluded but provider does not
			have any alternates – SC documentation
			and SC interview required only.
			, ,
			<b>No</b> : Case does not meet criteria for SC
			documentation and SC interview only.
2	Effective date of ISP reviewed.	Date field	The reviewer will document the effective
۷.	Effective date of 151 Tevlewed.	Date field	date of ISP reviewed and used to score
			elements.
2	The ICD for this review period is within	Yes	
3.	•		<b>Yes</b> : The current ISP was completed in
	365 days of the previous ISP.	No	365 days or less
		∐ N/A	No. The ICD was associated associated as
			<b>No</b> : The ISP was completed greater than
			365 days
			N/A: Individual has been receiving waiver
			supports for less than one year.
4.	The ISP reviewed identified all medical	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : All medical needs identified in the
	needs found in the SIS or other relevant	∐ No	SIS or other relevant assessments are
	assessments.	□ N/A	addressed in the ISP.
			<b>No</b> : Review of the most recent
			assessments
			notes medical needs NOT addressed in
			the ISP.
			N/A: Individual has no medical needs
			identified in the assessments utilized to
			develop the ISP, or in the ISP reviewed.
5.	The ISP reviewed identified all behavioral	Yes	Yes: All behavioral needs identified in the
	needs found in the SIS or other relevant	No	SIS or other relevant assessments are
	assessments.	∏ N/A	addressed in the ISP.
	assessiments.		addi essed iii eile isi i
			<b>No</b> : Review of the most recent
			assessments
			notes behavioral needs NOT addressed in
			the ISP.
			the isi.
			N/A: Individual has no behavioral needs
			identified in the assessments utilized to
			develop the ISP, or in the ISP reviewed.
<u> </u>	More any assessments completed after	Yes	Var. Assassment(s) were completed after
О.	Were any assessments completed after		<b>Yes</b> : Assessment(s) were completed after
	the initiation of the ISP and used to	∐ No	the start of the ISP plan year AND were
	inform changes to the ISP?	∐ N/A	used to update the ISP.
			<b>No</b> : Assessment(s) were completed after
			the initiation of ISP which indicates an
			update the ISP, but NO UPDATE to ISP
			was completed by Support Coordinator.
			N/A: No assessments were completed
			after the start of the ISP plan year, OR
			review of assessment(s) completed after
			start of ISP did not indicate change to in-
			progress ISP.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
7. Was the RAT completed timely?	Yes No	<b>Yes</b> : RAT was completed prior to the ISP meeting or on the same day.
		<b>No</b> : RAT was completed after the ISP meeting OR was not completed.
8. Does the ISP incorporate high-risk health factors identified in the RAT?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	Yes: Review of the ISP confirms that all high-risk health factors identified in the RAT are incorporated into the ISP as appropriate.  No: Review of ISP does not confirm all high-risk health factors identified in RAT are incorporated into the ISP as
		appropriate.  N/A: Individual has no high-risk health factors identified in the RAT.
9. Does the assessment include all	Yes	Yes: The ISP reviewed included evidence
information related to the person's ISP.	∐ No	<ul> <li>Taking the individual's history;         (ISP Part II)</li> <li>Identifying the individual's needs, including known and potential risks; (ISP Part II)</li> <li>Gathering information from other sources such as family members and other service providers (Signature Page, Part V)</li> </ul>
		<b>No</b> : The ISP reviewed did not include evidence of all required assessment activities.
10. Are any additional assessments needed for conditions listed?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	Yes: Review of information or conversations with Support Coordinator indicate additional needs or assessments are indicated for any condition(s) listed in reviewer notes.  No: No additional assessments are
		indicated for any condition listed in reviewer notes.
		N/A: Individual does not have any of the identified medical/behavioral needs that could require additional assessment, OR Reviewer was unable to observe individual to complete in person evaluation of possible additional assessments needed.
11. Is Part I of the ISP complete and thorough?	Yes No	<ul> <li>Yes: Review of the ISP Part I includes:</li> <li>The individual's ISP meeting details,</li> <li>Talents &amp; Contributions,</li> <li>Important to/for</li> <li>and wants/doesn't want.</li> <li>Information provided must be in person centered language.</li> <li>No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates it does NOT include all aspects of person-</li> </ul>



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		centered planning described in bullets,
		and/or does not capture how the person
		is best supported.
12. Does the ISP Part II include the	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part II includes all
individual's health and behavioral	□No	individual's health and behavioral
support needs?		support needs.
Support recus.		Support needs.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part II does not
		include all the individual's health and
		behavioral support needs.
13. Does the ISP Part II include medications?	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part II includes the
13. Does the 13F Fait if include medications:	No	individual's medications.
		individual's medications.
	∐ N/A	<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part II does not
		include the individual's medications.
		<b>N/A</b> : Review of the ISP Part II identified
		that the individual does not have any
		prescribed or over-the-counter
		medications.
14. If yes, is there documentation of side	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : Review of the ISP Part II includes
effect review?	∐ No	where to locate the individual's
		medication side effects.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part II does not
		include where to locate the individual's
		medication side effects.
15. Does the ISP Part II include the	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part II includes the
individual's physical and health	□No	individual's physical and health
conditions?		conditions.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part II does not
		include the individual's physical and
		health conditions.
16. Does the ISP Part II include the	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part II includes the
individual's social, developmental,	□No	individual's social, developmental,
behavioral, and family history?		behavioral, and family history.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part II does not
		include the individual's social,
		developmental, behavioral, and family
		history.
17. Does the ISP Part II include the	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part II includes the
individual's communication, assistive	No No	individual's communication, assistive
technology and modifications needs?		technology and modifications needs.
technology and modifications needs:		technology and modifications needs.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part II does not
		include the individual's communication,
		•
		assistive technology and modifications
10. Dogo the ICD Dogst II in about 11 in	□ Voc	needs.
18. Does the ISP Part II include the	Yes	Yes: Review of ISP Part II confirms that
individual's employment status and	No	employment options were discussed, and
assessment of barriers to employment?	∐ N/A	the individual's decision related to
		employment is documented.
		<b>No</b> : Review of ISP Part II did not confirm
		that employment options were
		discussed, and the individual's decision
		related to employment is not
		documented.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		N/A: The individual is under the age of 14
		OR over the age of 65.
19. Does the ISP Part II include the	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : Review of the ISP Part II confirms the
individual's meaningful day and	☐ No	individual's meaningful day and
community involvement status?		community involvement status was
		discussed.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part II does not
		confirm the individual's meaningful day
		and community involvement status was
		discussed.
20. Did the individual have support from	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates
people during the development of the ISP	■ No	that the individual was given the
that they wanted?		opportunity to invite preferred people to
		participate in the planning process.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP section I is not
		complete, or it is not clear from
		documentation that the individual was
		able to invite preferred people to
		participate in the planning process.
21. Are all risks identified in Part II of the ISP	Yes	<b>Yes:</b> Review of the ISP Part III includes all
addressed under an outcome in Part III?	☐ No	risks identified in Part II or
		documentation of the reason that the
		risk is not being addressed.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part III does not
		include all risks identified in Part II.
22. Describe any risks or needs that do not	Text field	Reviewer will describe any risks that are
have a corresponding ISP outcome.		not addressed.
23. Outcomes are developed in the life area	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
of Employment as appropriate.	∐ No	area of Employment as Important To the
	∐ N/A	individual, AND Part III includes Outcome
		in life area of Employment.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
		area of Employment as Important To the
		individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in
		life area of Employment.
		N/A in dividual is NOT between a second
		N/A: individual is NOT between ages 14 and 65 OR if ISP Part I OR if Part II
		Employment section indicates individual
		is not interested in seeking employment.
24. Outcomes are developed in the life area	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
of Integrated Community Involvement as	No	area of Integrated Community
	∏ N/A	Involvement.
appropriate.		as Important To the individual, AND Part
		III includes Outcome in life area of
		Integrated Community Involvement.
		3
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
		area of Integrated Community
		Involvement as Important To the
		individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in
		life area of Integrated Community
		Involvement.
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area
		of Integrated Community Involvement as
		Important To them in ISP Part I.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
25. Outcomes are developed in the life area	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
of Community Living as appropriate.	☐ No	area of Community Living.as Important
, , , , ,	□ N/A	To the individual, AND Part III includes
		Outcome in life area of Community
		Living.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
		area of Community Living as Important
		To the individual WITHOUT Part III
		Outcome in life area of Community
		Living.
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area
		of Community Living as Important To
		them in ISP Part I.
26. Outcomes are developed in the life area	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
of Safety & Security as appropriate.	∐ No	area of Safety & Security as Important To
	□ N/A	the individual, AND Part III includes
		Outcome in life area Safety & Security.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
		area of Safety & Security as Important To
		the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome
		in life area of Safety & Security.
		,,
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area
		of Safety & Security as Important To
		them in ISP Part I.
27 Outcomes are developed in the life area	Yes	
27. Outcomes are developed in the life area		<b>Yes</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
of Healthy Living as appropriate.	∐ No	area of Healthy Living as Important To
	∐ N/A	the individual, AND Part III includes
		Outcome in life area Healthy Living.
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
		area of Healthy Living as Important To
		the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome
		in life area of Healthy Living.
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area
		of Healthy Living as Important To them in
		ISP Part I.
28. Outcomes are developed in the life area	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
of Social & Spirituality as appropriate.	☐ No	area of Social & Spirituality as Important
, , ,	□ N/A	To the individual, AND Part III includes
		Outcome in life area Social & Spirituality.
		. ,
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
		area of Social & Spirituality as Important
		To the individual WITHOUT Part III
		Outcome in life area of Social &
		Spirituality
		printing
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area
		of Social & Spirituality as Important To
20. Outcomes are developed in the Pf	□ Voc	them in ISP Part I.
29. Outcomes are developed in the life area	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
of Citizenship & Advocacy as appropriate.	No No	area of Citizenship & Advocacy as
	∐ N/A	Important To the individual, AND Part III
		includes Outcome in life area Citizenship
		& Advocacy
		<b>No</b> : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life
		area of Citizenship & Advocacy as



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		Important To the individual WITHOUT
		Part III Outcome in life area of Citizenship
		& Advocacy.
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area
		of Citizenship & Advocacy as Important
		To them in ISP Part I.
30. Are all needs in Part II assigned to Part III	Yes	Yes: Review of ISP indicated all Part II
Outcome, including responsible provider?	No	needs have been assigned to a Part III
		Outcome and responsible provider.
		<b>No</b> : Review of ISP indicated needs in Part
		II that have not been assigned a Part III
		Outcome with responsible provider.
31. Has there been follow up?	Yes	Yes: All Part II medical and/or behavioral
	No	needs without Part III Outcome have
		follow up documented in SC notation.
		Documentation indicated that there has
		been follow up to link to a provider, an
		interim ISP that indicates follow up,
		protocol developed by provider to
		address, or follow up in the OSVT.
		i i
		No: Documentation of follow up to
		address the identified need in Part II was
		not located.
32. Are all outcomes identified in Part III	Yes	Yes: Review of documentation confirmed
linked to Part V PFS as appropriate?	No	provider Part V includes all outcomes
		assigned to them in ISP Part III.
		No: Review of documentation did not
		confirm provider Part V includes all
		assigned outcomes OR Part V does not
		include the services and supports
		provider has identified to achieve the
		outcomes.
33. Does the ISP include strategies for solving	Yes	Yes: Review of documentation validated
conflict or disagreement that occurs	☐ No	that strategies for solving conflict or
during the ISP meeting with ISP supports,	☐ N/A	disagreement during ISP planning
outcomes, or individual decisions?		process were discussed and resolved.
		<b>No</b> : Review of documentation did not
		validate that the Support Coordinator
		documented and resolved conflict or
		disagreement during the ISP planning
		process.
		N/A: No evidence of conflict or
		disagreement with the process was
		found in the record which required
		resolution by Support Coordinator.
34. Date WaMS documentation review	Date field	Reviewer will enter the date WaMS
completed.		review is completed, and elements are
	D . C	scored.
35. Date Support Coordinator documentation	Date field	Reviewer will document the date all SC
review completed.		documentation provided through EHR or
26 5 11 (1) 16 11 125 11 11	Data Call	upload has been reviewed.
36. Date(s) of quarterly ISP review during	Date field	Reviewer will enter the date(s) of ISP
lookback.		Quarterly review signed by the Support
		Coordinator during the lookback. This
		element will <b>repeat</b> to capture all review dates within the review lookback period.
1	Î.	wates within the review lookback beriod.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
37. The ISP and/or other SC documentation	Yes	A "Yes" rating is indicated when the ISP
confirmed review of the ISP was	No	was reviewed quarterly or every 90 days.
conducted with the individual quarterly	□ N/A	
1		A ((N) a) yesting is indicated when the ICD
or every 90 days.		A "No" rating is indicated when the ISP
Source: FY 2022 and FY 2023 Community		was <b>not</b> reviewed quarterly or every 90
Services Performance Contract		days, OR if SC documentation indicates
		provider Quarterly Review was not
		submitted timely to include.
		•
		A "N/A" rating is indicated if the
		individual has been enrolled in waiver
		services for less than 90 days.
38. The ISP and/or other SC documentation	Yes	A "Yes" rating is indicated when the
supports that the individual was given a	∐ No	following criteria are met:
choice regarding services and supports,		<ul> <li>The ISP and/or other individual</li> </ul>
including the individual's residential		record documentation demonstrates
setting, and who provides them.		that education materials were
Source: FY 2019 and FY 2020 Community		presented in an accommodating
Services Performance Contract, DOJ		format for the individual and/or
Settlement Agreement		
Joint Filing Indicator V.I.1 and V.I.2		authorized representative or family
Joint Fining Maleator VIII and VIII.2		AND
		<ul> <li>The ISP and/or other individual</li> </ul>
		record documentation demonstrates
		that annual education was provided
		about less restrictive community
		options to any individuals living
		_
		outside their own home or family's
		home, or non-disability specific
		settings and an option for a private
		unit in a residential setting AND
		<ul> <li>The Virginia Informed Choice form is</li> </ul>
		present.
		prosenti
		A " <b>No</b> " rating is indicated when the
		following criteria are met:
		<ul> <li>The ISP and/or other individual</li> </ul>
		record documentation does not
		demonstrate that education
		materials were presented in an
		accommodating format for the
		individual and/or authorized
		-
		representative or family <b>OR</b>
		<ul> <li>The ISP and/or other individual</li> </ul>
		record documentation does not
		demonstrate that annual education
		was provided about less restrictive
		community options to any individuals
		living outside their own home or
		family's home, or non-disability
		specific settings and an option for a
		private unit in a residential setting
		OR
		The Virginia Informed Choice form is
		not present.
39. The ISP includes signatures of the	Yes	A <b>"Yes"</b> rating is indicated when the ISP is
<u> </u>		_
individual (or representative) and all	∐ No	signed <b>AND</b> dated by the
providers responsible for its		individual/representative and all
implementation.		providers responsible for its
		implementation.
		A "No" rating is indicated when the ISP is
		NOT signed AND dated by the
		individual/representative and all
T.	Î.	ministration and the second and the



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		providers responsible for its
		implementation.
40. Date of contact:	mm/dd/yyyy	Reviewer will enter the date of each contact with the individual/authorized rep/guardian, for the defined lookback period/evaluation timeframe.
		This element will <b>repeat</b> .
41. Type of contact:	Face-to-face (In	Reviewer will enter the type of each
,	person)  Phone  Video/virtual	contact with the individual/authorized rep/guardian.
	,	Face-to-face: contact was completed face-to-face with the
		individual/authorized rep/guardian
		Phone: contact was completed telephonically
		Video/virtual: contact was completed virtually
		This element will <b>repeat</b> .
42. The ISP and/or the individual's file	Yes	A <b>"Yes"</b> rating is indicated when the
included documentation the support	No	individual's file included documentation
coordinator identified and resolved any	☐ N/A	of identification and resolution AND that
unidentified or inadequately addressed		the individual's support planning team
risk, injury, need, or change in status, a		was convened by phone, video, or in
deficiency in the individual's support plan		person to address the issue.
or its implementation, or a discrepancy		
between the implementation of supports		A "No" rating is indicated when the
and services and the individual's		individual's file does <b>NOT</b> include
strengths and preferences.		documentation of identification and
Source: FY 2022 and FY 2023 Community Services		resolution <b>OR</b> that the individual's
Performance Contract		support planning team was <b>NOT</b>
		convened to address the issue.
		A "N/A" rating is indicated when there
		were no unidentified or inadequately
		addressed risk, injury, need, or change in
		status, a deficiency in the individual's
		support plan or its implementation, or a
		discrepancy between the
		implementation of supports and services
		and the individual's strengths and
10.0	T . C . I .	preferences.
43. Describe any inadequately addressed or	Text field	If the preceding scored element is
previously unidentified risk, injury, need,		answered "No," the reviewer will
change in status, deficiency in support plan or support implementation, and/or		document the findings.
discrepancy between support implementations, services provided, and		
the individual's strengths and preferences		
44. The ISP was developed according to the	Yes	Yes: A yes rating is indicated when
processes required.	No	evidence supports the ISP reviewed:
p. occoses required.		Was developed in coordination
		with the individual and their
		family/caregiver, as appropriate,
		all providers, and others as
		desired by the individual



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		<ul> <li>Includes updated VIDES, completed within a year of previous VIDES; and</li> <li>Includes updated RAT.</li> </ul>
		<b>No:</b> A no rating is indicated when any of the above criteria were not followed
45. If No, please describe ISP development processes that were not followed as required.	Text Field	
Case Summary		
46. Is there a concern that needs follow up?	Yes No	Yes: There is a concern that requires follow up  No: There are no concerns that require follow up.
47. Type of Concern	Clinical review needed HSW concern Provider Capacity & Competency HSW concern	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the need for assistance in reviewing clinical information  HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to DBHDS and/or Licensing  Provider Capacity & Competency HSW  Concern: the reviewer scored deficient any element identified as requiring a PC&C HSW Alert
48. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to document any questions or concerns that:  Need to be addressed by a clinical lead  Need to be referred to DBDHS for follow up
49. Summary of HSW/Provider Capacity and Competency Concerns	Text field	
50. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The HSW Lead will provide response to the concern/request for review
51. Clinical Reviewer Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document additional notes regarding his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed, individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.
52. Clinical Reviewer Notes		
53. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials
54. Date of interview	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the interview was conducted.
55. How was the interview completed?	Virtually via webinar Telephonically In-person	Reviewer will select the method in which the review was completed.
56. Name of Support Coordinator	Text field	Reviewer will enter the name of the SC
57. Contact information for Support Coordinator	Text field	Reviewer will document the contact information (i.e., phone number, email, etc.) of the SC.
58. Was the interviewee the primary or an interim SC?	☐ Primary ☐ Interim	Reviewer will document if the SC is the primary or an interim SC (providing temporary coverage for primary SC being unavailable, not currently assigned to a primary SC due to SC leaving, or a



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		supervisor providing coverage due to
		primary SC being new/in training)
59. How long has the SC supported the	< 6 months	Reviewer will enter the amount of time
individual?	6 months to 1 year	the SC has supported the individual.
	> 1 year to 5 years	
	> 5 years to 10 years	
	> 10 years	
60. Was the individual receiving ECM or	ECM	The reviewer will select the type(s) of
TCM?	TCM	case management received. The reviewer
		will select <b>both</b> if both were received
C4 Hardida a saladia dalamina	T C . l . l	during the lookback period.
61. How did you make this determination?	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's
62. How do you monitor the individual's	Text field	response.  Reviewer will document the SC's
62. How do you monitor the individual's supports and services?	Text Held	response.
63. Can you describe the risks identified in	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's
the ISP?	Text field	response.
64. How are these addressed?	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's
on now are these addressed.	T CAC II CIG	response.
65. What do you do when an individual has a	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's
change in status?		response.
66. What do you do when a provider is not	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's
implementing the plan as written?		response.
67. What do you do when there is a conflict	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's
in the ISP planning process?		response.
68. Are all medical and behavioral support	Yes	Yes: Support Coordinator confirms all
needs currently being addressed, either	No	medical and behavioral needs for
through documented supports or in		individual are currently addressed.
progress referral?		
		<b>No</b> : Support Coordinator reports there
		are medical or behavioral needs that are
		NOT currently addressed.
69. If no, please describe the unaddressed	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's
need, including what barriers prevent		response.
adequate supports from being		
implemented?	T . C . I I	
70. Enter any TA discussed with the SC	Text field	
Case Summary	□ Vaa	Van Thanking and any that was vive
71. Is there a concern that needs follow up?	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : There is a concern that requires
	∐ No	follow up
		<b>No</b> : There are no concerns that require follow up
72. Type of Concern	Clinical review	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has
72. Type of Concern	needed	identified the need for assistance in
	HSW concern	reviewing clinical information
	Provider Capacity &	HSW concern: the reviewer has
	Competency HSW	identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare
	concern	concern that must be reported to DBHDS
	Correctifi	and/or Licensing
		Provider Capacity & Competency HSW
		Concern: the reviewer scored deficient
		any element identified as requiring a
		PC&C HSW Alert
73. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to
		document any questions or concerns
		that:
		<ul> <li>Need to be referred to clinical lead</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Need to be referred to DBDHS for</li> </ul>
		follow up
74. Summary of HSW/Provider Capacity &	Text field	
Competency HSW Concerns		



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
75. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The HSW Lead will provide response to
		the concern/request for review
76. Clinical Reviewer Response	6	
77. Clinical Reviewer Notes	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section
		to document additional notes regarding
		his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed,
		individuals and/or staff that contributed
		to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.
78. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her
		name and credentials
PROVIDER TAB		
Provider Record Review		
79. Date of completed provider	Date field	Reviewer will enter the date of provider
documentation review.		notes/documentation review.
80. Is there evidence of completion of an	Yes	Yes: Documentation indicated that the
annual physical exam?	∐ No	individual had an annual physical exam
		within the past year
		No: Desumentation was not provided to
		<b>No</b> : Documentation was not provided to indicate that the individual had a physical
		exam within the past year.
		exam within the past year.
81. Is there evidence of completion of an	Yes	Yes: Documentation indicated that the
annual dental exam?	No	individual had an annual dental exam
		within the past year.
		, ,
		<b>No</b> : Documentation was not provided to
		indicate that the individual had a dental
		exam within the past year.
82. Did the provider identify any changes to	Yes	Yes: The provider identified and
needs or status?	□ No	documented changes to needs and/or
necus of status:	□ N/A	outcomes/support activities and/or
		individual desires.
		<b>No</b> : Review of documentation confirmed
		that:
		The provider did not document
		any changes to needs and/or
		outcomes/support activities OR
		The provider did not document
		any changes to individual desires.
		N/A: Should be selected if Individual did
		not have any new needs or change to
		status during lookback period.
83. Was there evidence that the provider	Yes	Yes: Review of documentation confirmed
implemented actions to address the	No	that:
changing needs and/or status?		The provider implemented actions to
		address the changing needs and/or
		outcomes/support activities and/or
		individual desires OR
		There was evidence that the provider
		documented that changes were not
		warranted (for instance, follow up
		with physicians and/or other
		providers confirmed that changes
		should not be made).



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		<b>No</b> : Review of documentation did not confirm that the provider implemented actions to address the changing needs and/or outcomes/support activities and/or individual desires.
84. Describe any inadequately addressed or previously unidentified change in needs or outcomes/support activities, deficiency in support plan or support implementation, discrepancy between support implementations, services provided, and the individual's strengths and preferences, and/or lack of follow up regarding an individual's stated desires.	Text box	The reviewer will document any findings from review of the individual's documentation.
85. Is there a record of the individual receiving and signing their HCBS rights disclosure on an annual basis?	Yes No	Yes: Documentation of a signed HCBS rights disclosure was provided by the provider.  No: This document was not provided
86. Is there an approved modification in place for health and safety risks OR is the individual in the process of requesting such approval?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	A "Yes" rating is indicated when the provider demonstrates documentation that an approved HCBS Rights modification is in place for a health and safety risk.  A "No" rating is indicated when any of the individual files include evidence restricting individuals' HCBS rights without an approved modification OR policies demonstrating setting-wide restrictions on HCBS requirements.  A N/A rating is indicated when individual does not require HCBS rights modification.
87. Date of observation/interview	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the face-to-face observation was conducted.
88. Name of provider staff selected by HSAG for observation:	Text field	Reviewer will enter the name of staff selected by HSAG for observation.
89. Was observation completed with staff selected by HSAG?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	A "Yes" rating is indicated when: Staff observed was selected by HSAG reviewer.  A "No" rating is indicated when: Staff observed were not selected by HSAG
		reviewer.  A <b>N/A</b> rating is indicated when individual has only one (1) staff listed for service provision by provider.
90. If NO, name of staff observed.	Text field	Reviewer will note name of staff observed if other than staff selected by HSAG.
91. Address of service provision where observation occurred.	Text field	Address <b>must</b> be complete, including street address, city, state, and zip code.
92. How was the interview completed?	☐ Virtually via webinar☐ In-person	Reviewer will select the method in which the review was completed.
93. Did face to face interview of staff include observation of individual and their service provision?	Yes No	
94. Is the individual's/provider's environment neat and clean?	Yes No	The reviewer will observe and assess the individual's environment.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
	UTA	<b>Yes</b> : The environment is clean.
		<b>No</b> : The environment is not clean/concerns were noted.
		UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this option if individuals refuse direct observation of their personal environment
95. Was the person's/provider's environment accessible?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ UTA	<b>Yes</b> : The environment meets the needs of the individual and they are able to access common areas of the service location.
		<b>No</b> : The environment does not meet the needs of the individual and/or there are areas of the service location that they cannot access (ex. Kitchen, living room)
		UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this option if individuals refuse direct observation of their personal environment
96. Does the individual appear well kempt?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ UTA	The reviewer will observe and assess the individual for, at a minimum, the items noted in the subsequent element.
		<b>Yes</b> : The individual appeared well kempt.
		<b>No</b> : The individual did not appear well kempt/concerns were noted.
		UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this option if individuals refuse direct observation of their personal environment.
97. Were staff engaging with the individual based on the person's preference and interests?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ UTA	<b>Yes</b> : The staff were supporting the individual and engaging them in preferred activities as indicated in their ISP.
		<b>No</b> : The staff were not engaging with the individual OR they were engaging with the individual in ways that are not congruent with their ISP.
		<b>UTA</b> : Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this option if pandemic or other restrictions do not allow for observation.
98. Was the person being offered choices throughout the visit?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ UTA	<b>Yes</b> : The staff were offering the individual meaningful choices during the visit and supporting them with following through with their choice.
		No: The staff did not offer the individual options that allowed for meaningful choices to be made OR did not offer choices at all when choices were possible.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will
		only use this option if pandemic or other
		restrictions do not allow for observation.
99. Was the staff utilizing person first	Yes	Yes: The staff used first person language
language and talk with the individual as	No	throughout the visit and addressed the
opposed to about the individual?	UTA	individual directly.
opposed to about the individual:		individual directly.
		No. The staff did not use first never
		<b>No</b> : The staff did not use first person
		language, did not address the individual
		directly, or attempt to communicate in
		the preferred method of the individual.
		UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will
		only use this option if pandemic or other
		restrictions do not allow for observation.
100. Were staff implementing the Part V	Yes	A "Yes" rating is indicated if the reviewer
as written?	No	is able to observe service provision in
as written:	UTA	action, and confirm it accurately
	L OTA	
		represents provider Part V Plan for
		Supports.
		A "No" rating is indicated if the reviewer
		is NOT able to observe service provision
		in action that accurately represents
		provider Part V Plan for Supports.
		UTA: Reviewer did not directly observe
		service provision.
101. If No, describe	Text field	Reviewer will document deficiencies
101. II NO, describe	rext field	observed in services provided or plan
102 Faminalisidualassith habasianal		implementation.
102. For individuals with behavioral	Yes	Yes: The staff utilized strategies
support plans were staff addressing	∐ No	identified in the BSP support the
behaviors per the written plan?	UTA	individual during the visit.
	∐ N/A	
		<b>No</b> : The staff did not use strategies
		identified in the BSP to support the
		individual during the visit as needed.
		UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewer did not
		observe any of the targeted behaviors
		during the visit.
		daring the visiti
		N/A: The individual does not have a
		behavior support plan.
102		· · · ·
103. Were staff adhering to medical and	Yes	Yes: The staff adhered to medical and
behavioral protocols as outlined in the	∐ No	behavioral protocols in support of the
plan?	UTA	individual during the visit as required.
	∐ N/A	
		<b>No</b> : The staff did not adhere to all
		medical and behavioral protocols needed
		to support the individual during the visit.
		UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewer was
		unable to observe <b>ANY</b> of the protocols
		due to need and/or timing.
		and to need and or anning.
		N/A: The individual door not have any
		N/A: The individual does not have any
404		medical or behavioral protocols.
104. Were staff able to describe what	Yes	Yes: The staff are able to describe what
community inclusion looks like for the	∐ No	community inclusion looks like for the
individual?	□ N/A	individual.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		<b>No</b> : The staff was not able to describe what community inclusion looks like for the individual.
		<b>N/A:</b> Individual does not have outcomes developed specific to goals of community inclusion.
105. Did the staff demonstrate competency in supporting the individual?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ UTA	Yes: The staff demonstrated skills that were appropriate to support the individual and to ensure that their needs are being met. (Ex. Staff were able to demonstrate appropriate lifting techniques during transfers, staff was trained on the individual's ISP and were able to support them based on their preferences, staff being able to communicate effectively with the individual and recognize supports needed, staff appeared trained on the needs of the individual as well as the program and did not need to rely on others for guidance and direction for items within the DSP scope)  No: The staff did not demonstrate the necessary skills to be able to support the individual to be able to meet their needs.
		(Ex. Staff did not appear to know what to do and either needed to ask for directions or did not support the individual properly within their scope.  UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will
		only use this option if pandemic or other
106. Were there new staff supporting the individual?	Yes No	restrictions do not allow for observation. <b>Yes</b> : Reviewer observed staff supporting the individual during the visit who meet the DBHDS definition of 'new'.
		<b>No</b> : No staff observed supporting the individual during the visit do not meet the DBHDS definition of 'new'.
107. If yes, was there evidence of oversight and monitoring of the new staff?	Yes No	<b>Yes</b> : Reviewer observed appropriate supervision of new staff supporting the individual during visit.
		<b>No</b> : Reviewer did not observe appropriate supervision of new staff during the visit.
108. Are specialized staffing support needs being implemented?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ UTA ☐ N/A	<b>Yes:</b> The individual has specialized staffing support as detailed in the provider Part V.
		<b>No</b> : The individual has specialized support needs that are not being implemented during observation per the ISP and as detailed in the Provider Part V.
		UTA: Unable to assess during observation (specialized staffing support is required



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		in the community, but onsite occurs in the home)
		<b>N/A</b> : Individual does not have specialized staffing support needs.
109. What types of adaptive equipment does the individual have as part of their plan?	Text field	Reviewer will indicate what adaptive equipment is included in the ISP
110. Are staff familiar with adaptive equipment needs?	Yes No UTA N/A	Yes: The staff supporting the individual are familiar with the adaptive equipment the individual needs, the purpose of the equipment, and how to use the equipment properly in the correct situations.
		No: The individual has adaptive equipment and the staff supporting the individual are either not aware of the equipment and the need for the equipment OR the staff is not properly trained on how to use the equipment or how to support the individual to use the equipment.
		UTA: Unable to assess during observation (adaptive equipment was not needed during the observation)
		<b>N/A</b> : Individual does not have adaptive equipment.
111. Were staff utilizing adaptive equipment the individual had as part of their plan?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ UTA ☐ N/A	Yes: The staff supporting the individual are observed to be utilizing the adaptive equipment as indicated in their ISP. They appear to know how to use the equipment effectively and in the correct situations based on the ISP.
		<b>No</b> : The individual has adaptive equipment and the staff supporting the individual were not utilizing the equipment based on the ISP and to best support the individual.
		<b>UTA</b> : Unable to assess during observation (adaptive equipment was not needed during the observation).
		<b>N/A</b> : Individual does not have adaptive equipment.
112. Is all equipment in working order?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ UTA ☐ N/A	<b>Yes</b> : All adaptive equipment is in working order. The adaptive equipment is not being used due to being in need of repair or is not in working order.
		<b>No</b> : The adaptive equipment is not being used due to needing repair or is not in working order.
		UTA: Unable to assess during observation (adaptive equipment was not needed during the observation OR no observation conducted with individual)



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		<b>N/A</b> : Individual does not have adaptive
		equipment.
113. Has repair or follow up on repairs	Yes	Yes: The identified equipment is in the
been occurring?	☐ No	process of being repair, follow up has
		occurred to repair by DME provider, the
		item is in the process of being replaced,
		or consistent follow up is documented to
		address needed repairs.
		No: No follow up has occurred or staff
		supporting the individual is not aware of
		any follow up actions being taken to
		address the repair.
114. Describe any equipment in need of	Text field	Reviewer will indicate the equipment in
repair or equipment for which repair	r ext rieid	need of repair.
needs are not being addressed.		need of repair.
115. Did reviewer observe that all	Yes	Yes: The reviewer did observe support
supports being provided were included in	□ No	needs being addressed by support staff
the provider Part V?	UTA	that are included in the Provider Part V
the provider Part V?	L OTA	
		as a needed support.
		No. The verience did not about a that all
		<b>No</b> : The reviewer did not observe that all
		supports were being provided per
		provider Part V.
		<b>UTA:</b> Reviewer did not observe supports
		being provided to individual <b>OR</b> Reviewer
		did not observe individual.
116. If no, describe	Text field	
117. Were all health and behavioral	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : The reviewer did not observe any
support needs met during observation?	<u></u> No	unmet health or behavioral support
	UTA	needs.
		<b>No</b> : The reviewer observed that the
		individual appeared to have unmet
		health or behavior support needs that
		are not being addressed or are not
		included in the ISP. (Ex. if there is no
		evidence that provider staff use an active
		BSP to address behaviors)
		·
		UTA: Reviewer did not observe
		individual.
118. If no, describe	Text field	Reviewer will describe the unmet health
,		or behavioral support need(s).
119. Are staff able to describe things	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : Staff were able to describe the
important to and important for the	No	individual's talents/contributions and
individual?		what's important to and important for
marriada		the individual.
		<b>No</b> : Staff were not able to describe the
		individual's talents/contributions and
		what's important to and important for
		the individual.
120. Was staff able to describe the	Voc	<b>Yes</b> : Staff were able to describe the
	Yes	
outcomes being worked on in this	∐ No	outcomes being worked on in this
environment?		environment.
		No. Chaff
		<b>No</b> : Staff were not able to describe the
		outcomes being worked on in this
		environment.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
121. Could the staff describe the medical	Yes	Yes: Staff were able to describe the
support needs of the individuals?	No	medical support needs of the individual
	□ N/A	and any signs/symptoms that need to be
		monitored.
		<b>No</b> : Staff were not able to describe
		medical support needs of the individual
		or described incorrect or incomplete
		•
		support needs.
		N/A: Individual does not have medical
		support needs documented in record.
122. Were staff familiar with the medical	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : The staff were familiar with medical
protocols to support the person?	∐ No	protocols to support the person,
	∐ N/A	sign/symptoms to look for, and how to
		respond appropriately per protocol.
		<b>No</b> : The staff were not familiar with
		medical protocols to support the
		individual or were not able to identify the
		steps or how to respond appropriately
		per the protocol.
		N/A: Individual does not have any
		medical protocols.
123. What would staff do if the person	Text field	Reviewer will enter the staff's response.
experienced a medical crisis?	r ext mena	The viewer will enter the stair stresponse.
experienced a medical crisis.		
124. Could the staff describe behavioral	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : The staff were able to describe the
support needs?	No	individual's behavioral support needs.
support needs:	N/A	individual's beliavioral support fleeds.
	L N/A	<b>No</b> : The staff were not able to describe
		behavioral support needs or could only
		partially describe behavioral support
		needs.
		N/A: Individual does not have any
		behavioral support needs.
125. Were staff familiar with behavioral	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : The staff were able to implement
support plans or protocols developed to	☐ No	behavior protocols as written. Staff are
support the person?	□ N/A	able to describe antecedents, behaviors,
		minimization or coping strategies, and
		any other aspects of the behavioral
		protocol. Staff were implementing
		strategies to proactively prevent
		behaviors.
		<b>No:</b> Observation of staff indicates they
		were not able to support the individual
		during behavior outbursts per the
		behavioral protocol, or staff could not
		explain target behaviors and associated interventions.
		interventions.
		N/A. Individual absorbed door not belie
		N/A: Individual observed does not have
426 14/1-1 11/1-15/1-15	T. (C.1)	Behavioral support plan or protocols
126. What would staff do if an individual	Text field	Reviewer will enter staff's response.
they are supporting experienced a mental		
health or behavioral crisis?	<u></u>	1
127. Does the staff know what	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : The staff were able to describe the
medications the person is taking or where		medications the individual is taking or
to locate this information?	∐ N/A	



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		show you where they verify current medications.
		<b>No</b> : The staff were not able to describe the medications or show you where this information is recorded that the person
		is taking.
		<b>N/A</b> : Individual does not take ANY medications.
128. Can the staff list the most common side effects of the medications the person is on or where to locate that information?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	<b>Yes</b> : The staff were able to describe the side effects of the medications the individual is taking or show you where to locate the side effects of the medications taken.
		<b>No</b> : The staff were not able to describe the side effects of the medications that the person is taking or show you where they would locate them.
		<b>N/A</b> : Individual does not take ANY medications.
129. Has the Individual experienced events related to high-risk health factors within lookback period?	Yes No N/A	Yes: Individual has experienced medical or behavioral events related to high-risk health factors that required unexpected medical attention.
		<b>No</b> : Individual has NOT experienced medical or behavioral events related to high-risk health factors that required unexpected medical attention.
		<b>N/A</b> : The individual does not have high risk factors.
130. Did these events warrant and result in a modification to the ISP or protocols?	Yes No	Yes: The staff reported that there have been events related to the individual's high-risk factors resulting in change to protocols or procedures.
		<b>No</b> : The staff reported that there have been events related to the individual's high-risk factors, without changes to protocols or procedures as a result.
131. When were you last trained on Medication Administration?	<pre>&lt; 6 months ago   6-12 months ago  &gt; 12 months ago  Never</pre>	Reviewer will document the DSP's response.
132. When were you last trained on Crisis Intervention?	<pre>&lt; 6 months ago   6-12 months ago  &gt; 12 months ago  Never</pre>	Reviewer will document the DSP's response.
133. Can you tell me what person- centered care means?	Yes No	Yes: Staff are able to verbalize the concept of person-centered care or describe the practical application of it in their service provision.
		<b>No</b> : Staff are NOT able to verbalize the



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		describe the practical application of it in their service provision
134. Can you explain the individual's rights in your program?	Text field	Reviewer will document the DSP's response.
135. Enter any TA discussed with the DSP.	Text field	responses
Case Summary	T CAC TICIO	
136. Is there a concern that needs follow up?	Yes No	Yes: There is a concern that requires follow up  No: There are no concerns that require follow up
137. Type of Concern	Clinical review needed HSW concern Provider Capacity & Competency HSW concern	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the need for assistance in reviewing clinical information  HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to DBHDS and/or Licensing  Provider Capacity & Competency HSW  Concern: the reviewer scored deficient any element identified as requiring a
138. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	PC&C HSW Alert  This section is provided for reviewers to document any questions or concerns that:  Need to be addressed by a clinical lead  Need to be referred to DBDHS for follow up
139. Summary of HSW/Provider Capacity & Competency Concerns	Text field	- ionex sp
140. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer will provide response to the concern/request for review
141. Clinical Reviewer Response		
142. Clinical Reviewer Notes	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document additional notes regarding his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed, individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.
143. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials
INDIVIDUAL TAB		
Individual Information		
144. Can and does the individual choose to participate in the interview process?	Yes No	Yes: The individual can and chooses to participate in the interview process.  No: The individual cannot or chooses not to participate in the interview process.
145. If No, select the reason	☐ Individual or SDM/Family declined prior to or at time of arrival for observation. ☐ Individual declined upon arrival for observation.	to participate in the interview process.  If the preceding element is answered, "No," the reviewer will document the reason that the individual cannot or chooses not to participate in the interview process.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
	Individual is not	
	present at time of	
	observation with staff.	
	Individual in medical	
	and/or behavioral	
	distress that precluded	
	interview.	
146. Date of interview	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the
		interview was conducted.
147. How was the interview completed?	Virtually via webinar	Reviewer will select the method in which
	Telephonically	the review was completed.
	In-person	
148. If the interview was not able to be	Text field	If the interview was not able to be
conducted in private, describe why		conducted in private, the reviewer will
		document why.
Individual Interview		HSAG to include applicable provider
individual interview		service types in evaluation criteria
		column once all are confirmed by DBHDS.
149. Do you like living here?	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
149. Do you like living here!		RESIDENTIAL ONLY
	∐ No	
	L CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
150. Would you like to live somewhere	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
else?	<u></u> No	
	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
151. Did you choose the people you live	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
with?	No	
	□ N/A	The reviewer will enter the individual's
	CND	response.
		- soperios
		N/A Should be selected for individuals
		who live alone.
152. Do you have a key to your home?	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
132. Do you have a key to your nome:		RESIDENTIAL ONLY
	∐ No	The second secon
	L CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
153. If no, why not?	Text field	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
		If the preceding element is answered,
		"No," the reviewer will enter the
		individual's response.
154. Do you have a key to your bedroom?	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
, ,	No	
	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
155. If no, why not?	Text field	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
133. If no, why not:	Text field	INESIDENTIAL ONE!
		If the group adimer alone and in a province of
		If the preceding element is answered,
		"No," the reviewer will enter the
		individual's response.
156. Do you open your mail or help with	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
opening your mail?	☐ No	
	☐ CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
157. Do you have visitors at your home?	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	No	
	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
158. Do you like attending this program?	Yes	GROUP DAY or other COMMUNITY
	No	BASED PROGRAMS ONLY
	CND	
		The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
159. Did you get to choose the people you	Yes	GROUP DAY or other COMMUNITY
participate in the group with?	∐ No	BASED PROGRAMS ONLY
	L CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
160. Would you like to do something else	Yes	NOT APPLICABLE FOR RESPITE/CRISIS
during the day?	<u></u> No	
	☐ CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
161. Do you like your staff?	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	☐ No	
	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
162. If no, why not?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
Total III iii iii iii ii ii ii ii ii ii ii ii	l ext neid	THE SERVICE THE ES
		If the preceding element is answered,
		"No," the reviewer will enter the
		1 '
		individual's response.
163. If you want to be alone, what can	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
you do?		
		The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
164. Who decides what things you get to	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
do?		
		The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
165. If you want to go somewhere, does	Yes	Exclude crisis, respite, case management.
your provider take you?	No	znerade energy respires, sade management.
your provider take you:	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
	L CIVID	response.
166. Can you get where you want to go	Yes	Exclude crisis, respite, case management.
without problems?	No	Exclude crisis, respite, case management.
without problems:		The reviewer will enter the individual's
	L CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
467 16 1 11 1	- · · · · · · ·	response.
167. If no, what kinds of problems do you	Text field	Exclude crisis, respite, case management.
have?		
		The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
168. What if you want to do something	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
but no one else wants to?		
		The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
169. Who do you go out into the	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
community with?		
,		The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
170. If you could spend your day however	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
you wish, what would you do?	l cxe neid	THE SERVICE THES
you wish, what would you do:		The reviewer will enter the individual's
171. Do you get to do those things as	Yes	response.
, ,		ALL SERVICE TYPES
much as you would like?	∐ No	<b>-</b> 1
	L CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.
172. Why not?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
		The reviewer will enter the individual's
		response.



PCK I	ool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
173. do	When you are hungry what do you ?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
			The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
174.	Do you want to attend a urch/synagogue/mosque or other	Yes No	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	ligious activity of your choice?	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
175.	Do you attend religious services?	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	,	No	
		CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
176.	If no, why not?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
			If the preceding element is answered, "No," the reviewer will enter the individual's response.
177.	Are you registered to vote?	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
1//.	Are you registered to vote:	□ No	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		□ N/A □ CND	response.
			The reviewer will select N/A if the individual is not 18 years of age or older or is unable to vote due to legal status.
178.	Did you vote in the last election?	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
		☐ No	
	☐ N/A ☐ CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	
			The reviewer will select N/A if the
			individual is not 18 years of age or older
			or is unable to vote due to legal status.
179.	If no, why not?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
			If the preceding element is answered,
			"No," the reviewer will enter the individual's response.
180.	Do you participate in your banking?	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
100.	bo you participate in your banking:	No	ALL SERVICE TITES
		CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
			response.
181.	Do you have a job?	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
		∐ No	
		N/A	The reviewer will enter the individual's
		L CND	response.
			The reviewer will select N/A if the individual does not participate in a job or
			day program OR if the individual is less
			than 14 years old.
182.	Is your support coordinator currently	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
ad	dressing your employment goals?	∐ No	The manifest and the standard standards
		L CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
183.	Do you feel safe here?	Yes	response. ALL SERVICE TYPES
100.	20 you reer suite mere.	□ No	32.17.32 171 23
		CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's
			response.
184.	If no, is there a specific reason why?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		If the preceding element is answered,
		"No," the reviewer will enter the
		individual's response.
Case Summary		
185. Is there a concern that needs fol	llow Yes	Yes: There is a concern that requires
up?	on ☐ No	follow up
αρ.		lonow up
		<b>No</b> : There are no concerns that require
		follow up
10C Tune of Course up	Clinical review	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has
186. Type of Concern	Clinical review	
	needed	identified the need for assistance in
	HSW concern	reviewing clinical information
	Provider Capacity &	<b>HSW concern</b> : the reviewer has
	Competency HSW	identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare
	concern	concern that must be reported to DBHDS
		and/or Licensing
		Provider Capacity & Competency HSW
		Concern: the reviewer scored deficient
		any element identified as requiring a
		PC&C HSW Alert
187. Summary of Clinical Review Con	cerns Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to
		document any questions or concerns
		that:
		<ul> <li>Need to be addressed by a clinical</li> </ul>
		lead
		<ul> <li>Need to be referred to DBDHS for</li> </ul>
		follow up
188. Summary of HSW/Provider Capa	acity Text field	Reviewer to utilize to document any
& Competency Concern	icity Text field	other notes if additional space needed
189. HSW Lead Response		other notes if duditional space needed
190. Clinical Reviewer Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer will provide
130. Cililical Reviewer Response	Text field	response to the concern/request for
		review
191. Clinical Reviewer Notes	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section
191. Cliffical Reviewer Notes	l'ext neid	to document additional notes regarding
		his/her review, including documenting
		the information/records reviewed,
		individuals and/or staff that contributed
100		to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.
192. Clinical reviewer name and	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her
credentials		name and credentials
193. Can the SDM or family member	Yes	Yes: The SDM or family member can
participate in the interview process?	No No	participate in the interview process.
		<b>No</b> : The SDM OR family member cannot
		participate in the interview process.
194. If No, document reason	Text field	If the preceding element is answered,
		"No,", the reviewer will document the
		reason that the SDM or family member
		cannot participate in the interview
		process.
195. Date of interview	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the
		interview was conducted.
196. How was the interview complete	ed? Virtually via webinar	Reviewer will select the method in which
	Telephonically	the review was completed.
	In-person	'
197. Interview completed with	Legal guardian	Reviewer will select all participants
	Authorized rep	interviewed
	Family member	
	SDM	
		1



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
198. Interviewee contact information	Text field	Reviewer will enter contact information
		for the interviewee (i.e., name, phone
		number, email address, etc.)
199. Did the SC provide the individual with	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member
a choice in service providers, including a	□ No	validated that the individual was
-		
choice in SC?	☐ Not Sure	provided a choice in service providers.
		No: The SDM and/or family member did
		not validate that the individual was
		provided a choice in service providers.
		Not Sure: The SDM and/or family
		member is not sure or responds that they
		do not know if it was discussed.
200. Did the SC discuss employment goals	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member
and options with the individual?	☐ No	validated that the SC discussed
·	Not Sure	employment goals and options.
		<b>No</b> : The SDM and/or family member did
		not validate that the SC discussed
		employment goals and options.
		employment goals and options.
		Not Sura: The SDM and/or family
		Not Sure: The SDM and/or family
		member is not sure or responds that they
204 - Dilli CO II		do not know if it was discussed.
201. Did the SC discuss community	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member
involvement opportunities with the	∐ No	validated that the SC discussed
individual?	Not Sure	community involvement opportunities.
		<b>No</b> : The SDM and/or family member did
		not validate that the SC discussed
		community involvement opportunities.
		Not Sure: The SDM and/or family
		member is not sure or responds that they
		do not know if it was discussed.
202. Are all of the individual's needs and	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member did
supports currently being met?	П №	not report the individual has needs or
earlies as construct, some success	Not Sure	supports that are unmet.
		No: The SDM and/or family member
		reported the individual has needs or
		supports that are unmet.
		supports that are unifiet.
		Not Sura: The SDM and for family
		<b>Not Sure</b> : The SDM and/or family member is not sure if the individual has
000 15 1 11		unmet needs or supports.
203. If no, describe	Text field	The reviewer will document any needs or
		supports that are not being met as
		reported by the SDM and/or family
		member.
204. Did you have an opportunity to	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member
participate in the ISP development?	☐ No	reported that he/she had an opportunity
	☐ Not Sure	to participate in the ISP development.
		<b>No</b> : The SDM and/or family member
		reported that he/she did not have an
		opportunity to participate in the ISP
		development.
		Not Sure: The SDM and/or family
		member was not sure if he/she had an



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		opportunity to participate in the ISP development.
205. Do you feel the ISP is representative	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member
of the person's needs?	No	reported that he/she felt the ISP is
'	Not Sure	representative of the individual's needs.
		<b>No</b> : The SDM and/or family member
		reported that he/she did not feel the ISP
		is representative of the individual's
		needs.
		Not Sure: The SDM and/or family
		member was not sure if the ISP is
		representative of the individual's needs.
206. If no, why not?	Text field	The reviewer will document the
. ,		interviewee's response.
207. Does the SDM/Family confirm there	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member
are no concerns regarding the current	No	reported that there are no concerns
service providers?		
		<b>No</b> : The SDM and/or family member
		reported concerns.
208. If no, describe	Text field	The reviewer will document the
		interviewee's response.
Case Summary	□ v	Vac Thanking and that we will
209. Is there a concern that needs follow	Yes	<b>Yes</b> : There is a concern that requires
up?	∐ No	follow up
		<b>No</b> : There are no concerns that require
		follow up.
210. Type of Concern	Clinical review	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has
	needed	identified the need for assistance in
	HSW concern	reviewing clinical information
	Provider Capacity &	<b>HSW concern</b> : the reviewer has
	Competency HSW	identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare
	concern	concern that must be reported to DBHDS
		and/or Licensing
		Provider Capacity & Competency HSW
		Concern: the reviewer scored deficient
		any element identified as requiring a
		PC&C HSW Alert
211. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to
		document any questions or concerns
		that:
		Needs to be addressed by a clinical lead
		and/or
		Needs to be referred to DBDHS for follow
		ир
212. Summary of HSW/Provider Capacity	Text field	Reviewer to utilize to document any
and Competency Concerns		other notes if additional space needed
213. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer will provide
		response to the concern/request for
244 - Clinia D. 1 - D	T. (C.1)	review
214. Clinical Reviewer Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section
		to document additional notes regarding
		his/her review, including documenting
		the information/records reviewed,
		individuals and/or staff that contributed
245 Clinical De la const	Tara Ciala	to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.
<ul><li>215. Clinical Reviewer notes.</li><li>216. Clinical reviewer name and</li></ul>	Text Field	The elipical reviewer will enter his /h = 1
216. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials
i creuerriais	Î.	mame and deachlas