

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

NELSON SMITH COMMISSIONER

DEPARTMENT OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH AND DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES

Telephone (804) 786-3921

Fax (804) 371-6638

www.dbhds.virginia.gov

Post Office Box 1797 Richmond, Virginia 23218-1797

Office of Human Rights

Thursday, September 12, 2024

MEMORANDUM

To: DBHDS Operated Facilities

From: Taneika Goldman, State Human Rights Director

RE: Improbable Allegations Documentation and LHRC Appeals

In an effort to support state operated facilities and in keeping with our mission to promote impartial and thorough investigations into allegations of abuse, neglect and exploitation, the following memo is being issued on behalf of the Office of Human Rights (OHR). The purpose of this memo is to clarify procedures concerning the Improbable Process outlined in Departmental Instruction 201 specific to documentation in CHRIS as well as appeals to the Local Human Rights Committee (LHRC). This information will also be incorporated into the CHRIS training for facilities.

It should be noted that the Facility Services Division is actively reviewing and revising its policy infrastructure, and DI 201 will soon be reviewed, revised and renamed to FS.006.

Background

Currently, DI 201 emphasizes the rights of individuals to "have all allegations of abuse or neglect investigated in accordance with the procedures and time frames in the Human Rights Regulations and this DI."

According to DI 201, while all allegations of abuse or neglect must be investigated, there are times that there may be an abbreviated investigation: "when in consultation and agreement, the facility director, investigator, and advocate determine at any time during the course of an investigation that an individual's allegation of abuse or neglect may be based on inaccurate information and therefore may be an improbable allegation…"

Historically improbable allegations have been closed as unsubstantiated; however, since an abbreviated investigation is conducted no finding should be made. Only when a "full" investigation is conducted should a finding of substantiated or unsubstantiated be made.

DI 201 Transmittal Memo

What type of Abuse/Neglect occurred? (check all that apply)

The current DI 201 Transmittal Memo already differentiates a designation of improbable from findings such as substantiated or unsubstantiated.

CHRIS

Improbable allegations must still be entered into CHRIS and all subsequent fields must be completed as with any other "DI 201 Investigation." The current CHRIS system requires a finding on the investigation tab that corresponds to the type of allegation completed on the allegation tab.

Given this system requirement, a corresponding finding of unsubstantiated must still be entered into CHRIS on the investigation tab related to the type of allegation; however, facilities will need to select "no" beside "Other" on the investigation tab. This will allow facilities to enter more information in the "Please explain Other" text box to indicate the allegation was deemed improbable. Facilities should also complete the "Other Rationale" text box to further explain how the decision was made. Please use the following example as a guide:

Physical Psychological No Undo No Undo Verbal Neglect: peer on peer aggression No Undo No Undo Sexual Missing Individual, Elopement, AWOL No Yes No Undo Undo Seclusion Neglect: Not in compliance with standards Medication Related No Undo No Undo Not in compliance with standards Failure to provide services necessary for Yes No Undo No Undo health, safety and welfare Exploit *Other (Explain on below textbox) No Undo No Undo *Please This allegation was deemed improbable and the Director, explain Investigator and Human Rights Advocate are in agreement. Öther Rationale Eyewitness Statements ☐ Staff Admissions Failure to Follow Behavior/Mgmt Plan ☐ Failure To Follow Policy ☐ Witness Credibility Other (e.g., video footage) Other A meeting with the treatment team confirmed the allegation Rationale is more likely than not symptomatic of the individual's mental illness.

Appeals to the Local Human Rights Committee

As previously established, investigations that are closed as improbable do not have a substantiated or unsubstantiated finding as required in a "full" investigation; therefore, these cases are not available to appeal to the Local Human Rights Committee (LHRC). When sending a findings letter to the individual and, if applicable, surrogate decision maker, the standard appeal information should not be included.

If new information becomes available bringing the improbability into question, the case will need to be reopened and "fully" investigated which would result in a finding of substantiated or unsubstantiated. This would require a new findings letter with appeal information included, given that the case would then be open for potential appeal to the LHRC.

We appreciate your tireless commitment to the safety of the individuals in our service delivery system and the dedication of the trained investigators in each of your facilities. If you have questions regarding the information in this memo, please contact Brandon Charles, OHR Facility Operations Manager.